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Introduction

At June 2017, Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland had an estimated population of 1,083,226 people, with England’s overall population estimated at 55,619,430.

This report looks at the population demographics of Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland, overall, at county and unitary authority level, as well as at the level of the individual district authorities within Leicestershire. The population is analysed in terms of age, gender, disability, ethnicity, religion or belief, language, marital status, the provision of unpaid care, and sexual identity. For each area, and each characteristic, the figures are benchmarked relative to the overall profile of England.

Population estimates for age and gender are based on Office for National Statistics mid-year estimates to June 2017. Population estimates for disability, ethnicity, religion or belief, language, marital status, and the provision of unpaid care are based on the 2011 UK Census. Figures for sexual identity come from the Office for National Statistics Annual Population Survey 2016. Each represents the latest available information at the time of writing.

Information on age, gender, disability, ethnicity, religion or belief, and the provision of unpaid care reflects the usual resident population. Information on language reflects the usual resident population aged three years old and above. Information on marital status reflects the usual resident population aged sixteen years old and above. Information on sexual identity reflects respondents to the Annual Population Survey aged sixteen years old and above.

A graphical summary of these analyses is available in the appendix at the end of this document.

Population estimates for England overall, and Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland, overall, at county and unitary authority level, as well as at the level of the individual district authorities within Leicestershire County (Office for National Statistics mid-year estimates to June 2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Persons</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>55619430</td>
<td>28138377</td>
<td>27481053</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland Overall</td>
<td>1083226</td>
<td>544713</td>
<td>538513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leicester City</td>
<td>353540</td>
<td>176720</td>
<td>176820</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leicestershire County Overall</td>
<td>690212</td>
<td>348694</td>
<td>341518</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutland</td>
<td>39474</td>
<td>19299</td>
<td>20175</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Leicestershire County</td>
<td>Blaby</td>
<td>98977</td>
<td>50690</td>
<td>48287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charnwood</td>
<td>180387</td>
<td>89446</td>
<td>90941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Harborough</td>
<td>91461</td>
<td>46225</td>
<td>45236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hinckley and Bosworth</td>
<td>111370</td>
<td>56551</td>
<td>54819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Melton</td>
<td>50873</td>
<td>25888</td>
<td>24985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North West Leicestershire</td>
<td>100109</td>
<td>50564</td>
<td>49545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oadby and Wigston</td>
<td>57035</td>
<td>29330</td>
<td>27705</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Age and Gender

Population estimates for age and gender were based on ONS 2017 mid-year estimates.

England Benchmark

Within the England benchmark, the population was weighted towards people aged sixty years old and under, with higher proportions of people amongst children aged ten and under, people in their late twenties and early thirties, and people in their late forties and early fifties. There was also a peak at ages 69 and 70, and a trough at ages 14 to 16 years old.

Age profiles were similar for females and males.

There were increasingly higher proportions of women relative to men amongst people in their seventies and above in the England benchmark.
Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland overall

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland had higher proportions of people in their late teens and early twenties, and lower proportions of children under the age of ten, people in their thirties to fifties, and people in their seventies and above.

Age profiles were similar for females and males.

There were increasingly higher proportions of women relative to men amongst people in their seventies and above in Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland.
Leicester City

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicester City had higher proportions of people under the age of forty, especially people in their late teens and twenties, and lower proportions of people in their forties and above.

Age profiles were similar for females and males.

Leicester City had increasingly higher proportions of women relative to men amongst people in their seventies and above.
Leicestershire County

Compared to the England benchmark, **Leicestershire County** had higher proportions of people in their late teens to early twenties, and people in their late forties and above, and lower proportions of children under the age of ten as well as people in their mid-twenties to early forties.

![Graph showing age distribution comparison between England and Leicestershire]

The overrepresentation of people in their late teens to early twenties was noted particularly amongst men.

![Graph showing gender balance across different age groups]

**Leicestershire County** had increasingly higher proportions of women relative to men amongst people in their seventies and above.

![Graph showing gender balance across different age groups]
**Rutland**

Compared to the England benchmark, **Rutland** had higher proportions of people in their mid-teens as well as people in their late fifties and above, and lower proportions of children under the age of ten as well as people in their twenties to early forties.

The underrepresentation of people in their twenties to early thirties was especially marked amongst women.

**Rutland** had higher proportions of women relative to men amongst people in their seventies and above.
Within Leicestershire County

Blaby

Compared to the England benchmark, Blaby had higher proportions of people in their late forties to early eighties, and lower proportions of children under the age of five, as well as people in their late teens and twenties to thirties.

The underrepresentation of children under the age of five was noted particularly amongst girls, and whilst both men and women in the twenties were underrepresented, the underrepresentation of people in their thirties was especially marked amongst men.

Blaby had increasingly higher proportions of women relative to men amongst people in their seventies and above.
Charnwood

Compared to the England benchmark, **Charnwood** had higher proportions of people in their late teens and early twenties, and lower proportions of children under the age of fifteen, as well as people in their thirties to early fifties.

The overrepresentation of people in their late teens to early twenties was especially marked amongst men.

**Charnwood** had increasingly higher proportions of women relative to men amongst people in their seventies and above.
Harborough

Compared to the England benchmark, **Harborough** had higher proportions of people in their early and mid-teens, as well as people in their mid to late forties and above, and lower proportions of younger children under the age of five, and people in their twenties to thirties.

Women in particular were overrepresented amongst those in their late eighties and above.

**Harborough** had increasingly higher proportions of women relative to men amongst people in their eighties and above.
Hinckley and Bosworth

Compared to the England benchmark, Hinckley and Bosworth had higher proportions of people in their mid to late forties to eighties, and lower proportions of children under the age of five, and people in their late teens to thirties.

Age profiles were similar for females and males.

Hinckley and Bosworth had increasingly higher proportions of women relative to men amongst people in their late seventies and above.
Melton

Compared to the England benchmark, Melton had higher proportions of people in their late forties to early eighties, and lower proportions of children under the age of ten, and people in their twenties to thirties.

Age profiles were similar for females and males.

Melton had increasingly higher proportions of women relative to men amongst people in their eighties and above.
North West Leicestershire

Compared to the England benchmark, North West Leicestershire had higher proportions of people in their late forties to early seventies, and lower proportions of children under the age of ten, and people in their twenties and thirties.

Age profiles were similar for females and males.

North West Leicestershire had increasingly higher proportions of women relative to men amongst people in their eighties and above.
Oadby and Wigston

Compared to the England benchmark, **Oadby and Wigston** had higher proportions of people in their late teens and early twenties, late fifties, and seventies and above, and lower proportions of children under the age of ten, and people in their late twenties to early forties.

The underrepresentation of people in their late twenties to early thirties was especially marked amongst men.

**Oadby and Wigston** had increasingly higher proportions of women relative to men amongst people in their seventies and above.
Disability

Population estimates for disability were based on the UK 2011 Census.

England Benchmark

In the England benchmark 9.3% of people reported that their day-to-day activities were limited a little, and a further 8.3% reported that their day-to-day activities were limited a lot. There were higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little or limited a lot amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and amongst those aged 65 years old and over.

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland overall

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland had a higher proportion of people whose day-to-day activities were not limited. Within Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland, as in the England benchmark, there were higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little or limited a lot amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and amongst those aged 65 years old and over.
Leicester City

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicester City had a lower proportion of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little. As in the England benchmark, within Leicester City there were higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little or limited a lot amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and amongst those aged 65 years old and over.

Leicestershire County

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicestershire County had a higher proportion of people whose day-to-day activities were not limited. As in the England benchmark, within Leicestershire County there were higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little or limited a lot amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and amongst those aged 65 years old and over.
**Rutland**

Compared to the England benchmark, Rutland had a higher proportion of people whose day-to-day activities were not limited. Within Rutland there were higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little or limited a lot amongst those aged 65 years old and over.

**Within Leicestershire County**

**Blaby**

Compared to the England benchmark, Blaby had a higher proportion of people whose day-to-day activities were not limited. Within Blaby there were higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old, and higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little or limited a lot amongst those aged 65 years old and over.
**Charnwood**

Compared to the England benchmark, **Charnwood** had a higher proportion of people whose day-to-day activities were not limited. Within **Charnwood** there were higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little or limited a lot amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and those aged 65 years old and over.

**Harborough**

Compared to the England benchmark, **Harborough** had a higher proportion of people whose day-to-day activities were not limited. As in the England benchmark, within **Harborough** there were higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little or limited a lot amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and those aged 65 years old and over.
Hinckley and Bosworth

Compared to the England benchmark, Hinckley and Bosworth had a lower proportion of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a lot. Within Hinckley and Bosworth there were higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old, and higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little or limited a lot amongst those aged 65 years old and over.

Melton

Compared to the England benchmark, Melton had a higher proportion of people whose day-to-day activities were not limited. As in the England benchmark, within Melton there were higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little or limited a lot amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and those aged 65 years old and over.
North West Leicestershire

Compared to the England benchmark, North West Leicestershire had a higher proportion of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little. As in the England benchmark, within North West Leicestershire there were higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little or limited a lot amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and those aged 65 years old and over.

Oadby and Wigston

Compared to the England benchmark, Oadby and Wigston had a lower proportion of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a lot. Within Oadby and Wigston there were higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old, and higher proportions of people whose day-to-day activities were limited a little or limited a lot amongst those aged 65 years old and over.
Ethnicity

Population estimates for ethnicity were based on the UK 2011 Census.

England Benchmark

In the England benchmark 14.6% of people were from a BME background. There were higher proportions of people from White backgrounds amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and amongst those aged 65 years old and over.

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland overall

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland had higher proportions of Asian British people and people from “other” ethnic groups. As in the England benchmark, within Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland there were higher proportions of people from White backgrounds amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and amongst those aged 65 years old and over.
**Leicester City**

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicester City had higher proportions of Asian British, Black British, and Mixed Race people and people from “other” ethnic groups. Within Leicester City there were higher proportions of people from White and Asian British backgrounds amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and a higher proportion of people from White backgrounds amongst those aged 65 years old and over.

**Leicestershire County**

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicestershire County had a higher proportion of people from White backgrounds. As in the England benchmark, within Leicestershire County there were higher proportions of people from White backgrounds amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and amongst those aged 65 years old and over.
Rutland

Compared to the England benchmark, Rutland had a higher proportion of people from White backgrounds. Within Rutland there were lower proportions of people from Asian British, Black British, and Mixed Race backgrounds amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and amongst those aged 65 years old and over.

Within Leicestershire County

Blaby

Compared to the England benchmark, Blaby had a higher proportion of people from White backgrounds. As in the England benchmark, within Blaby there were higher proportions of people from White backgrounds amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and amongst those aged 65 years old and over.
**Charnwood**

Compared the England benchmark, **Charnwood** had higher proportions of people from White and Asian British backgrounds. As in the England benchmark, within **Charnwood** there were higher proportions of people from White backgrounds amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and amongst those aged 65 years old and over.

![Charnwood Pie Chart](image1)

**Harborough**

Compared to the England benchmark, **Harborough** had a higher proportion of people from White backgrounds. Within **Harborough**, there was a higher proportion of people from White backgrounds amongst those aged 65 years old and over.

![Harborough Pie Chart](image2)
Hinckley and Bosworth

Compared to the England benchmark, Hinckley and Bosworth had a higher proportion of people from White backgrounds. Within Hinckley and Bosworth, there was a higher proportion of people from White backgrounds amongst those aged 65 years old and over.

Melton

Compared to the England benchmark, Melton had a higher proportion of people from White backgrounds. Within Melton, there was a lower proportion of Asian British people amongst those age 65 years old and over and lower proportions of Mixed Race people amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and amongst those aged 65 years old and over.
North West Leicestershire

Compared to the England benchmark, North West Leicestershire had a higher proportion of people from White backgrounds. Within North West Leicestershire, there were lower proportions of Asian British and Mixed Race people amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and amongst those aged 65 years old and over; and there were lower proportions of Black British people and people of “other” ethnic groups amongst those aged 65 years old and over.

Oadby and Wigston

Compared to the England benchmark, Oadby and Wigston had higher proportions of Asian British people and people from “other” ethnic groups. As in the England benchmark, within Oadby and Wigston there were higher proportions of people from White backgrounds amongst those aged 50 to 64 years old and amongst those aged 65 years old and over.
Religion or Belief

Population estimates for religion or belief were based on the UK 2011 Census, with percentages calculated out of the total number of people who disclosed their religion or belief.

England Benchmark

In the England benchmark 64.0% of people were Christian, with those of No Religion constituting the next largest belief group at 26.7%. Broadly, people from most minority religions and those of No Religion were concentrated at younger age bands, whilst there were higher proportions of Christians and Jews at older age bands.

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland overall

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland had higher proportions of Hindus, Muslims, Sikhs, and those of No Religion. Within Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland, broadly, people from minority religions and those of No Religion were concentrated at younger age bands, whilst there were higher proportions of Christians at older age bands.
Leicester City

Compared to the England benchmark, **Leicester City** had higher proportions of Hindus, Muslims, Sikhs, and those of “other” religions. Within **Leicester City**, broadly, people from most minority religions and those of No Religion were concentrated at younger age bands, whilst there were higher proportions of Christians, Hindus, and Jews at older age bands.

Leicestershire County

Compared to the England benchmark, **Leicestershire County** had higher proportions of Christians, Hindus, Sikhs, and those of No Religion. Within **Leicestershire County**, broadly, people from minority religions and those of No Religion were concentrated at younger age bands, whilst there were higher proportions of Christians at older age bands.
Rutland

Compared to the England benchmark, Rutland had a higher proportion of Christians. Within Rutland, broadly, people from minority religions and those of No Religion were concentrated at younger age bands, whilst there was a higher proportion of Christians at older age bands.

Within Leicestershire County

Blaby

Compared to the England benchmark, Blaby had higher proportions of Hindus, Sikhs, and those of No Religion. Within Blaby, broadly, people from minority religions and those of No Religion were concentrated at younger age bands, whilst there were higher proportions of Christians at older age bands.
Charnwood

Compared to the England benchmark, Charnwood had higher proportions of Hindus and those of No Religion. Within Charnwood, broadly, people from minority religions and those of No Religion were concentrated at younger age bands, whilst there were higher proportions of Christians at older age bands.

Harborough

Compared to the England benchmark, Harborough had a higher proportion of Christians. Within Harborough, broadly, people from minority religions and those of No Religion were concentrated at younger age bands, whilst there were higher proportions of Christians at older age bands.
**Hinckley and Bosworth**

Compared to the England benchmark, **Hinckley and Bosworth** had higher proportions of Christians and those of No Religion. Within **Hinckley and Bosworth**, broadly, people from minority religions and those of No Religion were concentrated at younger age bands, whilst there were higher proportions of Christians at older age bands.

![Religious Composition](image1)

**Melton**

Compared to the England benchmark, **Melton** had a higher proportion of Christians. Within **Melton**, broadly, people from minority religions and those of No Religion were concentrated at younger age bands, whilst there were higher proportions of Christians at older age bands.

![Religious Composition](image2)
**North West Leicestershire**

Compared to the England benchmark, **North West Leicestershire** had higher proportions of Christians and those of No Religion. Within **North West Leicestershire**, broadly, people from minority religions and those of No Religion were concentrated at younger age bands, whilst there were higher proportions of Christians at older age bands.

- **Buddhist**: 0.2%
- **Christian**: 69.2%
- **Hindu**: 0.4%
- **Jewish**: 0.1%
- **Muslim**: 0.2%
- **Sikh**: 0.1%
- **Other religion**: 0.5%
- **No religion**: 29.3%

(%'s calculated out of the total number who disclosed their religion or belief; 6.2% of all people chose not to disclose their religion or belief.)

---

**Oadby and Wigston**

Compared to the England benchmark, **Oadby and Wigston** had higher proportions of Hindus, Muslims, and Sikhs. Within **Oadby and Wigston**, broadly, people from minority religions and those of No Religion were concentrated at younger age bands, whilst there were higher proportions of Christians at older age bands.

- **Buddhist**: 0.3%
- **Christian**: 51.5%
- **Hindu**: 9.7%
- **Jewish**: 0.3%
- **Muslim**: 6.2%
- **Sikh**: 6.9%
- **Other religion**: 0.5%
- **No religion**: 24.6%

(%'s calculated out of the total number who disclosed their religion or belief; 6.0% of all people chose not to disclose their religion or belief.)
Language

Population estimates for language were based on the UK 2011 Census for those aged three years old and above.

England Benchmark

In the England benchmark the most widely spoken first language was English (92.0%), followed by Polish (1.0%), Punjabi (0.5%), Urdu (0.5%), Bengali (with Sylheti and Chatgaya) (0.4%), Gujarati (0.4%), and Arabic (0.3%). These languages covered over 95% of the population of England.

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland overall

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland had a lower proportion of people who spoke English as their first language. In Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland the most widely spoken first language was English (88.7%), followed by Gujarati (4.3%), Punjabi (1.0%), Polish (1.0%), and Urdu (0.4%). These languages covered over 95% of the population of Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland.
Leicester City

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicester City had a lower proportion of people who spoke English as their first language. In Leicester City the most widely spoken first language was English (72.5%), followed by Gujarati (11.5%), Punjabi (2.4%), Polish (2.0%), Urdu (1.1%), Somali (1.1%), All other South Asian Languages (1.0%), Arabic (0.8%), Chinese (other than Cantonese or Mandarin) (0.7%), Bengali (with Sylheti and Chatgaya) (0.6%), Portuguese (0.6%), Kurdish (0.5%), and Tamil (0.5%). These languages covered over 95% of the population of Leicester City.

Leicestershire County

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicestershire County had a higher proportion of people who spoke English as their first language (96.2%).
**Rutland**

Compared to the England benchmark, Rutland had a higher proportion of people who spoke English as their first language (98.2%).

![Rutland Language Pie Chart](chart1.png)

**Within Leicestershire County**

**Blaby**

Compared to the England benchmark, Blaby had a higher proportion of people who spoke English as their first language (96.6%).

![Blaby Language Pie Chart](chart2.png)

**Charnwood**

Compared to the England benchmark, Charnwood had a higher proportion of people who spoke English as their first language. In Charnwood the most widely spoken first language was English (93.6%), followed by Gujarati (1.8%). These languages covered over 95% of the population of Charnwood.

![Charnwood Language Pie Chart](chart3.png)
**Harborough**

Compared to the England benchmark, **Harborough** had a higher proportion of people who spoke English as their first language (98.0%).

**Hinckley and Bosworth**

Compared to the England benchmark, **Hinckley and Bosworth** had a higher proportion of people who spoke English as their first language (98.3%).

**Melton**

Compared to the England benchmark, **Melton** had a higher proportion of people who spoke English as their first language (97.8%).
**North West Leicestershire**

Compared to the England benchmark, **North West Leicestershire** had a higher proportion of people who spoke English as their first language (98.2%).

![Pie chart for North West Leicestershire](chart.png)

**Oadby and Wigston**

Compared to the England benchmark **Oadby and Wigston** had a higher proportion of people who spoke English as their first language. In **Oadby and Wigston** the most widely spoken first language was English (91.6%), followed by Gujarati (2.9%) and Punjabi (2.2%). These languages covered over 95% of the population of **Oadby and Wigston**.

![Pie chart for Oadby and Wigston](chart.png)
Marital Status

Population estimates for marital status were based on the UK 2011 Census for those aged sixteen years old and above.

England Benchmark

In the England benchmark 34.6% of people were Single (never married or in a civil partnership), 46.6% of people were Married, 0.2% were in a Civil Partnership, 2.7% were Separated. 9.0% were Divorced, and 6.9% were Divorced. Broadly, there were higher proportions of Single people at younger age bands, those who were Married, in a Civil Partnership, Separated or Divorced tended to be older than Single people, and there were high proportions of Widowers and Married people in the oldest age band.

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland overall

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland had a higher proportion of Married people. As in the England benchmark, within Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland there were higher proportions of Single people at younger age bands, those who were Married, in a Civil Partnership, Separated or Divorced tended to be older than Single people, and there were high proportions of Widowers and Married people in the oldest age band.
Leicester City

Compared to the England benchmark, **Leicester City** had a higher proportion of Single people. Within **Leicester City** there were higher proportions of Single people at younger age bands, those who were Married, in a Civil Partnership, Separated or Divorced tended to be older than Single people, and there were high proportions of Widowers, Married, and Divorced people in the oldest age band.

Leicestershire County

Compared to the England benchmark, **Leicestershire County** had higher proportions of Married and Widowed people. As in the England benchmark, within **Leicestershire County** there were higher proportions of Single people at younger age bands, those who were Married, in a Civil Partnership, Separated or Divorced tended to be older than Single people, and there were high proportions of Widowers and Married people in the oldest age band.
Rutland

Compared to the England benchmark, Rutland had higher proportions of Married and Widowed people. As in the England benchmark, within Rutland there were higher proportions of Single people at younger age bands, those who were Married, in a Civil Partnership, Separated or Divorced tended to be older than Single people, and there were high proportions of Widowers and Married people in the oldest age band.

Within Leicestershire County

Blaby

Compared to the England benchmark, Blaby had a higher proportion of Married people. As in the England benchmark, within Blaby there were higher proportions of Single people at younger age bands, those who were Married, in a Civil Partnership, Separated or Divorced tended to be older than Single people, and there were high proportions of Widowers and Married people in the oldest age band.
Charnwood

Compared to the England benchmark, Charnwood had a higher proportion of Single people. As in the England benchmark, within Charnwood there were higher proportions of Single people at younger age bands, those who were Married, in a Civil Partnership, Separated or Divorced tended to be older than Single people, and there were high proportions of Widowers and Married people in the oldest age band.

Harborough

Compared to the England benchmark, Harborough had a higher proportion of Married people. As in the England benchmark, within Harborough there were higher proportions of Single people at younger age bands, those who were Married, in a Civil Partnership, Separated or Divorced tended to be older than Single people, and there were high proportions of Widowers and Married people in the oldest age band.
**Hinckley and Bosworth**

Compared to the England benchmark, **Hinckley and Bosworth** had a higher proportion of Married people. As in the England benchmark, within **Hinckley and Bosworth** there were higher proportions of Single people at younger age bands, those who were Married, in a Civil Partnership, Separated or Divorced tended to be older than Single people, and there were high proportions of Widowers and Married people in the oldest age band.

**Melton**

Compared to the England benchmark, **Melton** had higher proportions of Married and Widowed people. As in the England benchmark, within **Melton** there were higher proportions of Single people at younger age bands, those who were Married, in a Civil Partnership, Separated or Divorced tended to be older than Single people, and there were high proportions of Widowers and Married people in the oldest age band.
North West Leicestershire

Compared to the England benchmark, **North West Leicestershire** had higher proportions of Married, Divorced and Widowed people. As in the England benchmark, within **North West Leicestershire** there were higher proportions of Single people at younger age bands, those who were Married, in a Civil Partnership, Separated or Divorced tended to be older than Single people, and there were high proportions of Widowers and Married people in the oldest age band.

Oadby and Wigston

Compared to the England benchmark, **Oadby and Wigston** had higher proportions of Married and Widowed people. Within **Oadby and Wigston** there were higher proportions of Single people at younger age bands, those who were Married, Separated or Divorced tended to be older than Single people, and there were high proportions of Widowers and Married people in the oldest age band.
Unpaid Care

Population estimates for unpaid carers were based on the UK 2011 Census.

England Benchmark

In the England benchmark 89.8% of people provided no unpaid care, 6.5% provided 1 to 19 hours of unpaid care a week, 1.4% provided 20 to 49 hours of unpaid care a week, and 2.4% provided 50 or more hours of unpaid care a week. Those in older age bands were more likely to provide unpaid care, with those aged 50 to 64 years old most likely to provide at least some unpaid care and those aged 65 years old and over most likely to provide 50 or more hours of unpaid care a week.

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland overall

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland had a higher proportion of people who provided 1 to 19 hours of unpaid care a week and a lower proportion of people who provided 50 or more hours of unpaid care a week. As in the England benchmark, within Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland those in older age bands were more likely to provide unpaid care, with those aged 50 to 64 years old most likely to provide at least some unpaid care and those aged 65 years old and over most likely to provide 50 or more hours of unpaid care a week.
Leicester City

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicester City had a higher proportion of people who provided no unpaid care, a lower proportion of people who provided 1 to 19 hours of unpaid care a week, and a higher proportion of people who provided 20 to 49 hours of unpaid care a week. As in the England benchmark, within Leicester City those in older age bands were more likely to provide unpaid care, with those aged 50 to 64 years old most likely to provide at least some unpaid care and those aged 65 years old and over most likely to provide 50 or more hours of unpaid care a week.

![Leicester City Pie Chart](image1)

Leicestershire County

Compared to the England benchmark, Leicestershire County had a higher proportion of people who provided 1 to 19 hours of unpaid care a week. As in the England benchmark, within Leicestershire County those in older age bands were more likely to provide unpaid care, with those aged 50 to 64 years old most likely to provide at least some unpaid care and those aged 65 years old and over most likely to provide 50 or more hours of unpaid care a week.

![Leicestershire County Pie Chart](image2)
**Rutland**

Compared to the England benchmark, Rutland had a higher proportion of people who provided 1 to 19 hours of unpaid care a week. As in the England benchmark, within Rutland those in older age bands were more likely to provide unpaid care, with those aged 50 to 64 years old most likely to provide at least some unpaid care and those aged 65 years old and over most likely to provide 50 or more hours of unpaid care a week.

**Within Leicestershire County**

**Blaby**

Compared to the England benchmark, Blaby had a higher proportion of people who provided 1 to 19 hours of unpaid care a week. As in the England benchmark, within Blaby those in older age bands were more likely to provide unpaid care, with those aged 50 to 64 years old most likely to provide at least some unpaid care and those aged 65 years old and over most likely to provide 50 or more hours of unpaid care a week.
**Charnwood**

Compared to the England benchmark, **Charnwood** had a higher proportion of people who provided 1 to 19 hours of unpaid care a week. As in the England benchmark, within **Charnwood** those in older age bands were more likely to provide unpaid care, with those aged 50 to 64 years old most likely to provide at least some unpaid care and those aged 65 years old and over most likely to provide 50 or more hours of unpaid care a week.

**Harborough**

Compared to the England benchmark, **Harborough** had a higher proportion of people who provided 1 to 19 hours of unpaid care a week. As in the England benchmark, within **Harborough** those in older age bands were more likely to provide unpaid care, with those aged 50 to 64 years old most likely to provide at least some unpaid care and those aged 65 years old and over most likely to provide 50 or more hours of unpaid care a week.
Hinckley and Bosworth

Compared to the England benchmark, Hinckley and Bosworth had a higher proportion of people who provided 1 to 19 hours of unpaid care a week. As in the England benchmark, within Hinckley and Bosworth those in older age bands were more likely to provide unpaid care, with those aged 50 to 64 years old most likely to provide at least some unpaid care and those aged 65 years old and over most likely to provide 50 or more hours of unpaid care a week.

Melton

Compared to the England benchmark, Melton had a higher proportion of people who provided 1 to 19 hours of unpaid care a week. As in the England benchmark, within Melton those in older age bands were more likely to provide unpaid care, with those aged 50 to 64 years old most likely to provide at least some unpaid care and those aged 65 years old and over most likely to provide 50 or more hours of unpaid care a week.
North West Leicestershire

Compared to the England benchmark, North West Leicestershire had a higher proportion of people who provided 1 to 19 hours of unpaid care a week. As in the England benchmark, within North West Leicestershire those in older age bands were more likely to provide unpaid care, with those aged 50 to 64 years old most likely to provide at least some unpaid care and those aged 65 years old and over most likely to provide 50 or more hours of unpaid care a week.

Oadby and Wigston

Compared to the England benchmark, Oadby and Wigston had a higher proportion of people who provided 1 to 19 hours of unpaid care a week. As in the England benchmark, within North West Leicestershire those in older age bands were more likely to provide unpaid care, with those aged 50 to 64 years old most likely to provide at least some unpaid care and those aged 65 years old and over most likely to provide 50 or more hours of unpaid care a week.
Sexual Identity

Population estimates for sexual identity were based on the 2016 ONS Annual Population Survey for those aged sixteen years old and above.

England Benchmark

In the England benchmark 2.6% of those who gave their sexual identity, identified as LGB; 1.3% gay or lesbian, 0.8% bisexual, and 0.6% other.

East Midlands Region

Compared to the England benchmark, the East Midlands Region had a similar proportion of LGB people overall, but had a higher proportion of people who identified as “other.”
Appendix: Graphical Summary of Analyses

Age and Gender

Population estimates for age and gender were based on ONS 2017 mid-year estimates.

Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland, alongside the England Benchmark
District Authorities within Leicestershire County, alongside the England Benchmark

- Females
- Males

England

- Blaby
- Charnwood
- Harborough
- Hinckley and Bosworth
- Melton
- North West Leicestershire
- Oadby and Wigston
Disability

Population estimates for disability were based on the UK 2011 Census.

**Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland, alongside the England Benchmark**

- Day-to-day activities not limited
- Day-to-day activities limited a little
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot

England

- Day-to-day activities not limited 82.4%
- Day-to-day activities limited a little 9.3%
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot 8.3%

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland Overall

- Day-to-day activities not limited 83.5%
- Day-to-day activities limited a little 9.1%
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot 7.4%

Leicester City

- Day-to-day activities not limited 82.7%
- Day-to-day activities limited a little 9.0%
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot 8.4%

Leicestershire County

- Day-to-day activities not limited 83.8%
- Day-to-day activities limited a little 9.2%
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot 7.0%

Rutland

- Day-to-day activities not limited 84.5%
- Day-to-day activities limited a little 9.4%
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot 6.1%
District Authorities within Leicestershire County, alongside the England Benchmark

- Day-to-day activities not limited
- Day-to-day activities limited a little
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot

England

- Day-to-day activities not limited: 82.4%
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot: 8.3%
- Day-to-day activities limited a little: 9.3%

Blaby

- Day-to-day activities not limited: 84.2%
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot: 6.9%
- Day-to-day activities limited a little: 8.9%

Charnwood

- Day-to-day activities not limited: 84.4%
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot: 6.7%
- Day-to-day activities limited a little: 8.9%

Harborough

- Day-to-day activities not limited: 85.4%
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot: 5.9%
- Day-to-day activities limited a little: 8.6%

Hinckley and Bosworth

- Day-to-day activities not limited: 83.0%
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot: 7.5%
- Day-to-day activities limited a little: 9.5%

Melton

- Day-to-day activities not limited: 84.4%
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot: 6.3%
- Day-to-day activities limited a little: 9.3%

North West Leicestershire

- Day-to-day activities not limited: 81.9%
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot: 8.4%
- Day-to-day activities limited a little: 9.7%

Oadby and Wigston

- Day-to-day activities not limited: 82.7%
- Day-to-day activities limited a lot: 7.7%
- Day-to-day activities limited a little: 9.6%
Ethnicity

Population estimates for ethnicity were based on the UK 2011 Census.

**Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland, alongside the England Benchmark**

- **Leicester City**
  - White: 50.5%
  - Asian British: 37.1%
  - Black British: 6.2%
  - Mixed: 3.5%
  - Other: 2.6%

- **Leicestershire County**
  - White: 91.4%
  - Asian British: 6.3%
  - Black British: 0.6%
  - Mixed: 1.3%
  - Other: 0.4%

- **Rutland**
  - White: 97.1%
  - Asian British: 1.0%
  - Black British: 0.7%
  - Mixed: 1.0%
  - Other: 0.2%
District Authorities within Leicestershire County, alongside the England Benchmark

England

- White: 85.4%
- Asian British: 7.8%
- Black British: 3.5%
- Mixed: 2.3%
- Other: 1.0%

Blaby

- White: 91.0%
- Asian British: 6.1%
- Black British: 1.0%
- Mixed: 1.6%
- Other: 0.4%

Charnwood

- White: 87.4%
- Asian British: 9.7%
- Black British: 0.8%
- Mixed: 1.6%
- Other: 0.6%

Harborough

- White: 95.2%
- Asian British: 3.0%
- Black British: 1.0%
- Mixed: 1.1%
- Other: 0.2%

Hinckley and Bosworth

- White: 96.5%
- Asian British: 2.1%
- Black British: 0.2%
- Mixed: 1.0%
- Other: 0.2%

Melton

- White: 97.9%
- Asian British: 1.0%
- Black British: 0.2%
- Mixed: 0.8%
- Other: 0.1%

North West Leicestershire

- White: 73.0%
- Asian British: 22.3%
- Black British: 2.1%
- Mixed: 1.4%
- Other: 1.4%

Oadby and Wigston

- White: 97.6%
- Asian British: 1.1%
- Black British: 0.2%
- Mixed: 0.9%
- Other: 0.1%
Religion or Belief

Population estimates for religion or belief were based on the UK 2011 Census, with percentages calculated out of the total number of people who disclosed their religion or belief.

Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland, alongside the England Benchmark

England

Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland Overall

Leicester City

Leicestershire County

Rutland
District Authorities within Leicestershire County, alongside the England Benchmark

- Buddhist
- Christian
- Hindu
- Jewish
- Muslim
- Sikh
- Other

(%’s calculated out of the total number who disclosed their religion or belief)
Language

Population estimates for language were based on the UK 2011 Census for those aged three years old and above.

Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland, alongside the England Benchmark

- **England**: English 92.0%, Other 4.7%
- **Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland Overall**: English 88.7%, Other 4.7%
- **Leicester City**: English 72.5%, Gujarati 11.5%, Punjabi 2.4%, Urdu 1.1%
- **Leicestershire County**: English 96.2%, Other 3.8%
- **Rutland**: English 98.2%, Other 1.8%
District Authorities within Leicestershire County, alongside the England Benchmark

- **England**
  - English: 92.0%
  - Other: 4.7%
  - Arabic: 0.3%
  - Gujarati: 0.4%
  - Bengali: 0.4%
  - Urdu: 0.5%
  - Punjabi: 0.5%
  - Polish: 1.0%

- **Blaby**
  - English: 96.6%
  - Other: 3.4%
  - Arabic: 0.3%
  - Other: 4.6%
  - Gujarati: 1.8%

- **Charnwood**
  - English: 93.6%
  - Gujarati: 1.8%
  - Other: 4.6%

- **Harborough**
  - English: 98.0%
  - Gujarati: 1.8%
  - Other: 2.0%

- **Hinckley and Bosworth**
  - English: 98.3%
  - Gujarati: 1.8%
  - Other: 1.7%

- **Melton**
  - English: 97.8%
  - Gujarati: 1.8%
  - Other: 2.2%

- **North West Leicestershire**
  - English: 98.2%
  - Gujarati: 2.9%
  - Punjabi: 2.2%

- **Oadby and Wigston**
  - English: 91.6%
  - Gujarati: 2.9%
  - Punjabi: 2.2%
  - Other: 3.2%
  - Other: 1.8%
Marital Status

Population estimates for marital status were based on the UK 2011 Census for those aged sixteen years old and above.

Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland, alongside the England Benchmark

- Single (never married or never registered a same-sex civil partnership)
- In a same sex civil partnership
- Married
- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership)
- Divorced
- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership

---

**England**

- Single (never married or never registered a same-sex civil partnership): 34.6%
- In a registered same-sex civil partnership: 0.2%
- Married: 46.6%
- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.7%
- Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership: 9.0%
- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership: 6.8%

**Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland Overall**

- Single (never married or never registered a same-sex civil partnership): 31.0%
- In a registered same-sex civil partnership: 0.2%
- Married: 48.8%
- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.5%
- Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership: 8.6%
- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership: 6.1%

**Leicester City**

- Single (never married or never registered a same-sex civil partnership): 34.6%
- In a registered same-sex civil partnership: 0.2%
- Married: 42.4%
- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.7%
- Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership: 9.1%
- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership: 6.9%

**Leicestershire County**

- Single (never married or never registered a same-sex civil partnership): 30.1%
- In a registered same-sex civil partnership: 0.2%
- Married: 51.7%
- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.4%
- Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership: 8.9%
- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership: 7.6%

**Rutland**

- Single (never married or never registered a same-sex civil partnership): 28.4%
- In a registered same-sex civil partnership: 0.2%
- Married: 54.2%
- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.4%
- Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership: 7.7%
- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership: 7.6%
District Authorities within Leicestershire County, alongside the England Benchmark

- Single (never married or never registered a same-sex civil partnership)
- In a same-sex civil partnership
- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership)
- Divorced
- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership

---

England

- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership: 6.0%
- Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved: 8.0%
- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.3%

Blaby

- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership: 6.6%
- Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved: 8.0%
- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.3%

Charnwood

- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership: 7.2%
- Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved: 9.3%
- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.7%

Harborough

- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership: 7.4%
- Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved: 9.2%
- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.0%

Hinckley and Bosworth

- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership: 7.2%
- Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved: 9.4%
- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.5%

Melton

- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership: 8.0%
- Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved: 7.0%
- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.0%

North West Leicestershire

- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership: 7.0%

Oadby and Wigston

- Widowed or surviving partner from a same-sex civil partnership: 7.0%

England

- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 1.4%
- Married: 46.0%

Blaby

- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.6%
- Married: 53.3%

Charnwood

- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.3%
- Married: 56.1%

Harborough

- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.0%
- Married: 51.7%

Hinckley and Bosworth

- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.0%
- Married: 52.9%

Melton

- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.0%
- Married: 52.4%

North West Leicestershire

- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.0%
- Married: 52.4%

Oadby and Wigston

- Separated (but still legally married or still in a same-sex civil partnership): 2.0%
- Married: 53.7%
Unpaid Care

Population estimates for unpaid carers were based on the UK 2011 Census.

**Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland, alongside the England Benchmark**

- Provides no unpaid care
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week

**England**

- Provides no unpaid care: 89.6%
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week: 6.8%
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week: 1.2%
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week: 1.8%

**Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland Overall**

- Provides no unpaid care: 89.1%
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week: 7.5%
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week: 1.0%
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week: 1.8%

**Leicester City**

- Provides no unpaid care: 89.8%
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week: 7.4%
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week: 1.0%
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week: 1.8%

**Leicestershire County**

- Provides no unpaid care: 90.6%
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week: 5.3%
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week: 1.7%
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week: 1.8%

**Rutland**

- Provides no unpaid care: 89.8%
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week: 7.4%
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week: 1.0%
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week: 1.8%
District Authorities within Leicestershire County, alongside the England Benchmark

- Provides no unpaid care
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week

England

- Provides no unpaid care: 89.8%
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week: 6.5%
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week: 1.4%
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week: 2.4%

Blaby

- Provides no unpaid care: 88.8%
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week: 7.7%
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week: 1.3%
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week: 2.2%

Charnwood

- Provides no unpaid care: 89.7%
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week: 7.2%
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week: 1.1%
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week: 2.4%

Harborough

- Provides no unpaid care: 89.5%
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week: 7.4%
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week: 1.1%
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week: 2.0%

Hinckley and Bosworth

- Provides no unpaid care: 88.8%
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week: 7.6%
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week: 1.3%
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week: 2.5%

Melton

- Provides no unpaid care: 89.5%
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week: 7.6%
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week: 1.4%
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week: 2.4%

North West Leicestershire

- Provides no unpaid care: 88.6%
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week: 7.5%
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week: 1.4%
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week: 2.5%

Oadby and Wigston

- Provides no unpaid care: 88.7%
- Provides 1 to 19 hours unpaid care a week: 7.6%
- Provides 20 to 49 hours unpaid care a week: 1.3%
- Provides 50 or more hours unpaid care a week: 2.4%
**Sexual Identity**

Population estimates for sexual identity were based on the 2016 ONS Annual Population Survey for those aged sixteen years old and above.

**East Midlands Region alongside the England Benchmark**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual or straight</td>
<td>97.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gay or lesbian</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**England**

- Heterosexual or straight: 97.4%
- Gay or lesbian: 1.3%
- Bisexual: 0.8%
- Other: 0.6%

**East Midlands Region**

- Heterosexual or straight: 97.4%
- Gay or lesbian: 1.0%
- Bisexual: 0.6%
- Other: 0.9%